	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/751,523	YOSHIDA, TAKAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ramyar M. Farid (Reg. No. 46,692).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 January 2007</u>	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1,8,10		·
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•	·	
Mellah Mit		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims still have 101 problems for failing to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend claims 1,8,10 as given in the attached Examiner's amendment to further add a step of "outputting the estimated supply voltage variation level" which is a tangible result that could be used by the designer and/or other circuit design tools to design circuits and/or to detect error portions